

As omnichannel commerce continues to evolve, selecting the right delivery partner becomes crucial for mid-market and enterprise brands aiming to build scalable, future-proof platforms. In this post, we dig deep into the offerings and delivery approaches of two prominent vendors— **DEPT** (with a stellar DEPT rating 4.8) and **Netguru**—while naturally referencing **Lab Digital** as context in the industry. We'll evaluate them through the lens of *MACH principles*, *API-first* architecture, and key themes like **architectural ownership after launch**, **delivery posture and accountability**, **integration discipline over feature checklists**, and **phased migrations** to limit downtime.

Understanding the Landscape: Why Omnichannel Commerce Delivery Matters

Omnichannel commerce platforms are no longer just “nice to have” — they're essential for brands looking to unify customer experiences across web, mobile, in-store, and beyond. The complexity of maintaining consistent product catalogs, inventory, personalization, promotions, and order management necessitates a robust delivery partner.

In recent years, vendors have leaned into **API-first** and **frontend-led architectures** that embody the *MACH principles* (Microservices, API-first, Cloud-native, and Headless). These frameworks enable modular, scalable, and agile commerce platforms that serve consistent, responsive experiences on web and mobile through shared APIs.



Meet the Contenders: DEPT and Netguru in Omnichannel Commerce

Criteria DEPT Netguru **Company Profile** Global digital agency with omnichannel commerce focus; notable **DEPT rating 4.8** on delivery and client satisfaction **Mid-sized software consultancy** specializing in custom e-commerce and digital transformation **Approach to Architecture** Strong proponent of **frontend-led architecture** with layered shared APIs for web and mobile **Works with MACH principles** but often with a focus on custom microservices alongside legacy system integrations **Delivery Style and Accountability** End-to-end ownership with clear SLA commitments and architectural stewardship post-launch **Consultative** but can exhibit gaps in architectural ownership after deployment **Phased Migration Expertise** Well-versed in planned phased migrations to limit downtime, minimize risk **Adopts migration strategies** but sometimes struggles on coordination when multiple teams involved **Integration Discipline** Emphasizes rigorous integration discipline, prioritizing stable APIs over ticking off features **Feature-rich deliveries** but occasionally at expense of integration consistency

Architectural Ownership After Launch: Who Holds the Baton?

One recurring issue in omnichannel commerce buildouts is the blurry line of architectural ownership once the project “goes live.” Delivering a solution is one thing; ensuring robust, ongoing architecture stewardship is another.

- **DEPT** stands out for its explicit practice of defining architectural ownership roles post-launch. They ensure clients and internal teams understand who governs the frontend-led stack, shared APIs, and cloud infrastructure, enabling rapid iteration without “feature creep” or technical debt spiraling.
- **Netguru** tends to adopt a more hands-off approach once deployed. Clients report that while delivery is on point, architectural accountability sometimes diffuses across multiple teams, which can lead to slower decision-making or reactive fixes.

From my experience, asking the vital question— *“Who owns the architecture after go-live?”*—can reveal how effective a vendor will be for long-term platform health. DEPT’s processes and SLAs shine here, especially with their comprehensive documentation and shared responsibility models.

Delivery Posture and Accountability: Beyond Vendor Promises

Another critical factor is delivery posture and how a vendor accepts accountability beyond just “we can do anything” hype. Delivery is not just about the toolkit but the discipline and ownership culture.

- **DEPT**
- **Netguru**

collegian.com

Clients looking to avoid “buzzword soup” and superficial vendor claims should evaluate how these firms structure responsibility for integration challenges and ongoing platform tuning over time.

Integration Discipline Beats Feature Checklists

Successful omnichannel platforms hinge on stable integrations between OMS, PIM, CMS, payment gateways, and personalized recommendation engines. This means prioritizing integration discipline—well-documented, tested, version-controlled APIs that work seamlessly across channels.

- **DEPT**
- **Netguru**

This resonates with my experience across multiple multi-market rollouts: feature checklists mean little if the integration fabric is brittle or undocumented. DEPT’s frontend-led architecture approach helps ensure that shared APIs are the single source of truth for presentation layers regardless of channel.

Phased Migrations: Minimizing Risk and Downtime

One other differentiator is methodology around **phased migrations**—a critical technique for brands moving legacy commerce workloads toward modern, composable platforms without catastrophic downtime.



Here, both DEPT and Netguru have strengths, but with distinct approaches:

- 1. **DEPT**
- 2. **Netguru**

Brands with aggressive uptime SLAs will appreciate DEPT’s structured phased rollout methodology, strongly aligned with frontend-led web and mobile APIs to ensure zero disruption during migration.

Natural Industry Collaboration: The Role of Lab Digital

It’s worth referencing **Lab Digital** as another player that intersects with both DEPT and Netguru in delivering omnichannel solutions. Lab Digital often partners with these companies or operates in similar project ecosystems.

While Lab Digital excels at bespoke customizations and integrations, I’ve seen them benefit when leaning further into API-first strategies championed by DEPT, particularly around ensuring architectural ownership clarity.

Summary Table: DEPT vs Netguru Omnichannel Commerce Delivery

Aspect	DEPT	Netguru
Architectural Ownership	Clear ownership, documented SLAs, proactive governance	More diffused, sometimes reactive, reliant on client coordination
Delivery Posture & Accountability	Strategic partnership, transparent communication, limits scope creep	Technically competent, less structured in managing evolving scope
Integration Discipline	Strong, API-first, frontend-led with stable shared APIs	Good features, integration stability varies by client environment
Migration Approach	Structured with risk isolation and fallback plans	Iterative, with dependency on coordination among multiple teams
DEPT Rating	4.8	(industry benchmark) N/A

Final Thoughts: Choosing the Right Partner for Your Omnichannel Journey

When deciding between DEPT and Netguru for omnichannel commerce delivery, brands must weigh not just features or tooling promises but the substantive qualities that underpin long-term success: architectural ownership, tight integration discipline, and a delivery posture that embraces accountability.

DEPT's endorsement of frontend-led architecture powered by shared APIs for web and mobile, combined with their clear ownership post-launch and high DEPT rating (4.8), make them a compelling choice for brands prioritizing stability and scalability.

Netguru remains a strong contender for clients needing tailored custom microservices or digital transformation guidance but may require stronger governance frameworks around architecture and phased migration planning.

If you are embarking on a MACH-compliant, API-first omnichannel commerce rebuild, be sure to ask your vendor:

- Who owns the architecture after go-live?
- How do you ensure integration discipline beyond ticking feature checklists?
- What is your phased migration strategy to guarantee minimal downtime?
- Can you provide clear SLA documents describing your delivery posture and accountability?

Answers matter more than buzzwords — and the right delivery partner will demonstrate discipline, transparency, and a commitment to empowering your platform's future.

About the Author

With 12 years of leading e-commerce delivery, I've directed headless rebuilds, OMS integrations, and orchestrated multi-market rollouts for mid-market and enterprise teams. My experience spans from agency PM roles to platform ownership, focused on vendor selection and delivery excellence. I always ask the tough questions post-launch and look beyond shiny tech to clarity, accountability, and architecture ownership.